#### IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of

Docket No: Q87779

Anthony Robert Milnes COATES

Appln. No.: 10/534,054

Group Art Unit: 1645

Confirmation No.: 2651

Examiner: Rodney P SWARTZ

Filed: March 22, 2006

For: PAIN RELIEF AGENTS

# REQUEST FOR REFUND

### MAIL STOP 16

Director of the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

Applicant hereby respectfully requests a refund in the amount of \$600.00. This refund is to be credited to Deposit Account No. 19-4880.

The total number of claims previously paid for was 25. The Amendment filed on August 1, 2008 contains a total of 28 claims. Our deposit account was erroneously charged for 15 claims in excess of 20 (\$50 x 15 = \$750). However the Amendment filed only increased the total claim count by 3 (\$50 x 3 = \$150). A copy of the Deposit Account Monthly Statement showing the charge to our account, and Amendment as filed are enclosed.

Respectfully submitted,

SUGHRUE MION, PLLC Telephone: (202) 293-7060

Facsimile: (202) 293-7860

WASHINGTON OFFICE 23373
CUSTOMER NUMBER

Date: March 26, 2009

/Susan J. Mack/

Susan J. Mack

Registration No. 30,951

United States Patent and Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

# MONTHLY STATEMENT OF DEPOSIT ACCOUNT

To replenish your deposit account, detach and return top portion with your check. Make checks payable to "Director of the USPTO."

SUGHRUE MION, PLLC 2100 PENNA AVE N W SUITE 800 WASHINGTON DC 20037 FINA

Accou	nt No.	
	194880	
Date		
	9-30-08	
Page		
	18	- 1

PLEASE SEND REMITTANCES TO: U.S. Patent and Trademark Office P.O. Box 979065

Call the Deposit Account Branch at 571-272-6500 for assistance.

St. Louis, MO 63197-9000 CHARGES!

MO, DAY  VR.   NO.   Serial Petent, Th. Order)   DOCKET NO.   CODE   CREDITS     9   4 08   12928   12281669   Q109979   8021   40.00   202058     9   4 08   13049   10499350   Q81927   1253   1050.00   201008     9   4 08   13050   10499350   Q81927   1814   260.00   20748     9   4 08   3   10534054   Q87779   1202   750.00   199478     9   4 08   116   12139260   Q108336   1081   522.00   199478     9   4 08   5   1129334   Q91973   1201   420.00   19958     19058   19058   19058   19058   19058   19058     19058   10818   10818   10818   10818   10818     19058   10818   10818   10818   10818   10818     19058   10818   10818   10818   10818   10818     19058   10818   10818   10818   10818   10818     19058   10818   10818   10818   10818     19058   10818   10818   10818     19058   10818   10818   10818     19058   10818   10818   10818     19058   10818   10818   10818     19058   10818   10818   10818     19058   10818   10818   10818     19058   10818   10818   10818     19058   10818   10818     19058   10818   10818   10818     19058   10818   10818     10818   10818   10818	.41 .41 .41 .41 .41 .41			
9 4 08 13049 10499350 Q81927 1253 1050.00 201008 9 4 08 13050 10499350 Q81927 1814 260.00 200748	.41 .41 .41 .41 .41 .41			
9 4 08 13050 10499350 081927 1814 260.00 200748	.41 .41 .41 .41 .41			
9 4 00 13030 10433330 2000 2000 2000	.41 .41 .41 .41			
9   4   08   3   10534054   08/7/9   1202   730,00   1939350   9   4   08   116   12139260   0108336   1081   520.00   199478	.41 .41 .41			
9 4 08 116 12139260 0108336 1081 520.00 199476	.41 .41			
	41			
9 4 08 5 11296334 091973 1201 420.00 199058 0 6 6 6 7 7 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9	41			
1 3 4 4 00 1 1 3 3 0 0 1 1 2 2 3 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1				
9 4 08 13589 12204177 0106960 1111 510.00 198238				
9 4 08 13590 12204177 0106960 1311 210.00 198028				
9 4 08 13778 12204177 0106960 8021 40.00 197988				
9 4 08 13911 11349928 092456 1801 810.00 197178				
9 4 08 13949 12204197 0109994 1011 310.00 196868				
9 4 08 13950 12204197 0109994 1111 510.00 196358				
9 4 08 13951 12204197 0109994 1311 210.00 196148				
9 4 08 13952 12204197 0109994 1201 630.00 195518				
9 4 08 14062 12204200 9108525 1011 310.00 195208				
9 4 08 14063 12204200 0108525 1111 510.00 194698				
9 4 08 14064 12204200 0108525 1311 210.00 194488				
9 4 08 14063 12204200 Q108525 1111 510.00 194858 9 4 08 14064 12204200 Q108525 1311 210.00 194488 9 4 08 14065 12204200 Q108525 1201 420.00 194068 9 4 08 14079 12204197 Q109994 8021 40.00 194028 9 4 08 14138 11274170 Q91515 1252 460.00 193568 9 4 08 14139 11274170 Q91515 1252 460.00 193568				
9 4 08 14079 12204197 0109994 8021 40.00 194028				
9 4 08 14 38 11274 70 9 15 15 1252 460.00 193568				
9 4 08 14 39 11274 170 09 15 15 1401 510.00 1930 58				
9 4 08 14173 12204200 0108525 8021 40.00 193018	.41			
9 4 08 14209 2619428 \$13312 7205 100.00 192918				
9 4 08 14210 2619428 \$13312 7208 200.00 192718				
9 5 08 293 6025064 032608 - DJC 8021 40.00 192678	.41			
9 5 08 331 12204244 Q108962 1011 310.00 192368				
9 5 08 332 12204244 0108962 1111 510.00 191858	.41			
9 5 08 333 12204244 Q108962 1311 210.00 191648	.41			
9 5 08 334 12204244 0108962 1201 210.00 191438	.41			
9 5 08 636 12281689 0109801 1631 310.00 191128	.41			
	.41			
9 5 08 638 12281689 0109801 1642 410.00 190716 9 5 08 640 12281689 0109801 1633 210.00 190508				
9 5 08 641 12281689 0109801 1614 210.00 190298				
1 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3				
1 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3				
9 5 08 653 12281690 0109996 1642 410.00 1895/8				
AN AMOUNT SUFFICIENT TO OPENING BALANCE TOTAL CHARGES TOTAL CREDITS CLOSING BA	ANCE			
COVER ALL SERVICES REQUESTED				
MUST ALWAYS BE ON DEPOSIT				
MIOST ALWAYS BE ON DEPOSTT **** O.D. INDICATES OVERDRAWN				

# IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

 In re application of
 Docket No: Q87779

 Anthony Robert Milnes COATES
 Strong Art Unit: 1645

 Appln. No.: 10/534,054
 Group Art Unit: 1645

 Confirmation No.: 2651
 Examiner: Rodney P SWARTZ

 Filed: March 22, 2006

 For: PAIN RELIEF AGENTS

 AMENDMENT UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.111

 MAIL STOP AMENDMENT

Sir:

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

In response to the Office Action dated April 7, 2008, please amend the above-identified application as follows on the accompanying pages.

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

AMENDMENTS TO THE SPECIFICATION	2
AMENDMENTS TO THE CLAIMS	3
AMENDMENTS TO THE DRAWINGS	7
DEMARKS	8

Application No.: 10/534,054

# AMENDMENTS TO THE SPECIFICATION

Please replace the specification filed May 6, 2005, with the Substitute Specification submitted herewith.

Application No.: 10/534,054

### AMENDMENTS TO THE CLAIMS

This listing of claims will replace all prior versions and listings of claims in the application:

#### LISTING OF CLAIMS:

1-28, (canceled).

29-30. (canceled).

- (currently amended): The method of Claims 2934 or 35, wherein the heat shock polypeptide is derived from a bacterium.
- 32. (previously presented): The method of Claim 31, wherein the bacterium is a Mycobacterium.
- 33. (previously presented): The method of Claim 32, wherein the Mycobacterium is Mycobacterium tuberculosis.
- (currently amended): A method of relieving pain comprising administering, to a subject in need thereof, a heat shock polypeptide or a nucleotide molecule encoding a heat shock polypeptide The method of any one of Claims 29 to 33,

wherein the heat shock polypeptide is a chaperonin,

wherein the nucleotide molecule comprises:

- at least one nucleotide sequence selected from the nucleotide sequence of SEO ID NOs: 1, 3, and 5of Figure 1 and /or Figure 2 and/or Figure 3, or
- (ii) a sequence which has more-thanat least 66% identity to sequence (i)-of-a sequence which hybridises to sequence (i) under conditions of 2 x SSC, 65°C (wherein SCC=0.15M NaCl, 0.15M sodium citrate, pH 7.2), which

Application No.: 10/534,054

encodes a functionally equivalent polypeptide to the sequence encoded by the nucleotide sequence of Figure 1 and/or Figure 2 and/or Figure 3; or

- (iii) a fragment of seqence—sequence (i) or (ii) encoding a functionally equivalent polypeptide fragment wherein the functionally equivalent polypeptide fragment is from 3 to 400 residues in length.
- 35. (currently amended): A method of relieving pain comprising administering, to a subject in need thereof, a heat shock polypeptide or a nucleotide molecule encoding a heat shock polypeptide. The method of any one of Claim 29 or 30,

wherein the heat shock polypeptide is a chaperonin, wherein the polypeptide comprises:

- at least one amino acid sequence selected from the amino acid sequence of SEO ID NOs:2, 4, and 6Figure 1 and/or Figure 2 and/or Figure 3, or
- (ii) a sequence which has more than t least 60% identity to sequence (i) which
  provides a functionally equivalent polypeptide, or
- (iii) a functionally equivalent fragment of sequence (i) or (ii) wherein the functionally equivalent fragment is from 3 to 400 residues in length.
- (canceled).
- 37. (previously presented): The method of Claim 36, wherein the functionally equivalent fragment is from 3 to 100 residues in length.
- 38. (previously presented): The method of Claim 34, wherein the nucleotide molecule encodes a functionally equivalent polypeptide fragment.
- (currently amended): The method of Claim 29 Claim 34 or 35, wherein the asaid heat shock polypeptide or a said nucleotide molecule is administered in a composition comprising a pharmaceutically acceptable excipent, diluent or carrier.

Application No.: 10/534,054

40. (currently amended): The method of Claim-29Claim 34 or 35, wherein the asaid heat shock polypeptide or a <u>said</u> nucleotide molecule is administered in a composition comprising at least one additive for assisting or augmenting the <u>pain relief</u> action of <u>by</u> the nucleotide molecule or polypeptide.

- 41. (previously presented): The method of Claim 40, wherein the additive is selected from at least one member of the group consisting of paracetamol, aspirin, ibuprofen, another non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drug (NSAID), a cylooxygenase-2-selective inhibitor (CSI), and an opiate.
- 42. (currently amended): The method of Claim 40, wherein the composition is in a form which provides prolonged or sustained pain relief.
- 43. (currently amended): The method of <u>Claim 29 Claim 34 or 35</u>, wherein said heat shock polypeptide or nucleotide molecule encoding a heat shock polypeptide are administered in single or divided doses at a daily dosage level of from 0.0001 to 100,000 mg.
- (previously presented): The method of Claim 43, wherein said daily dosage level is from 0.0001 to 1000 mg.
- 45. (previously presented): The method of Claim 43, wherein the divided doses are administered between six and twelve hours apart.
- 46. (previously presented): The method of Claim 45, wherein the divided doses are administered between nine and twelve hours apart.
- 47. (previously presented): The method of Claim 43, wherein the divided doses are administered between twelve hours and twelve days apart.

AMENDMENT UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.111 Attorney Docket No.: Q87779
Application No.: 10/534.054

48. (previously presented): The method of Claim 43, wherein the divided doses are administered between twelve days and six months apart.

- 49. (previously presented): The method of Claim 39, wherein the composition is formulated to permit administration by at least one route selected from the group consisting of intranasal, oral, parenteral, topical, ophthalmic, suppository, pessary and inhalation.
- (previously presented): The method of Claim 49, wherein the composition is formulated to permit administration by inhalation.
- (currently amended): The method of Claim 29Claim 34 or 35, wherein the subject is a human or animal.
  - 52. (previously presented): The method of Claim 51, wherein the subject is a human.
- 53. (currently amended): The method of Claim 29 Claim 34 or 35, wherein the pain is due to at least one member selected from the group consisting of backache, headache, toothache, earache, arthritis, gout, soft tissue trauma, ligament/tendon traumatic damage, a broken bone, cancer, post operative pain, menstrual pain, obstetric pain, renal tract pain, visceral pain, a burn, an abscess and an infection.

Attorney Docket No.: Q87779 AMENDMENT UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.111

Application No.: 10/534,054

AMENDMENTS TO THE DRAWINGS

The attached sheets of drawings includes changes to Figures 4-9 in response to the Examiner's objections to the drawings. These Replacement Sheets, pages 6-11, replace the

original sheets 6-11.

Attachment: 6 Replacement Sheets

7

Application No.: 10/534,054

#### REMARKS

#### Status of Claims and Amendment

Claims 31, 34, 35, 39, 40, 42, 43, 51 and 53 are amended. Claims 29 and 30 are canceled. Claims 29-53 are all the pending claims in this application. Claims 29-53 are rejected.

Claim 31 has been amended to change the claim dependency to claims 34 or 35.

Claim 34 has been amended to replace "hybridises" with "hybridizes" in response to an objection to the claims,

Claim 34 has been amended to replace "of Figure 1 and/or Figure 2 and/or Figure 3" with 
"of SEQ ID NOs: 1, 3, and 5", and claim 35 has been amended to replace "of Figure 1 and/or 
Figure 2 and/or Figure 3" with "of SEQ ID NOs: 2, 4, and 6". Support for the amendment to 
claims 34 and 35 may be found at least at page 4, line 29 to page 5, line 16 of the specification.

In addition, claims 34 and 35 have been amended to incorporate the limitations of claims 29, 30, and 36, and to delete reference to the conditions for hybridization.

Claims 39 and 40 have been amended to replace "the a" with "said" as suggested by the Office Action in response to a § 112, second paragraph rejection. In addition, claim 40 has been amended to recite "for assisting or augmenting the pain relief action by the nucleotide or polypeptide." Support for the amendment to claim 40 may be found at least at pages 3-4 of the specification.

Claim 42 has been amended to delete recitation to a "form."

Claims 43, 51 and 53 have been amended to change their dependency.

The specification has been replaced with a Substitute Specification in response to the objections to the specification by the Examiner. Specifically, the specification has been amended to correct for typographical errors in response to objections to the specification.

Figures 4-9 have been replaced with Replacement Sheets in response to the Examiner's objections to the drawings. Specifically, Figures 4 and 5 have been corrected to replace "CP.01" with "cpn 60.1", and Figures 6 and 7 have been corrected to replace "CP.02" with "cpn 60.2". In addition, Figures 8 and 9 have been corrected to replace "CPn 10" with "cpn 10". Support for the amendments to the drawings may be found at pages 9-10 of the specification.

No new matter is added.

#### Information Disclosure Statements

Applicants thank the Examiner for acknowledging the Information Disclosure Statements filed May 6, 2005 and March 22, 2006, by returning signed and initialed copies of the PTO SB/08 A & B forms submitted therewith.

#### Priority

Applicants thank the Examiner for acknowledging Applicants' claim of priority to GB Application No. 0226105.5 filed November 8, 2002, as well as receipt of a certified copy of the priority document.

### Response To Objections to the Specification

At pages 2-3 of the Office Action, the Examiner objects to specifications for various informalities such as lack of priority statement in the first line of the specification, embedded hyperlinks and browser-executable codes, and spelling errors.

In response, Applicants submit herewith a Substitute Specification correcting these informalities.

Withdrawal of the grounds of objection is respectfully requested.

# Response To Objections to the Drawings

At pages 3-4 of the Office Action, the Examiner objects to Figures 4 to 9 for errors in the figure legends.

In response, Applicants submit herewith Replacement Sheets for Figures 4 to 9 correcting these errors

Withdrawal of the grounds of objection is respectfully requested.

### Response To Claim Objections

Claim 34 is objected for spelling of "hybridises" and asked to change it to "hybridizes".

In response, Applicants have amended claim 34 to correct this typographical error.

Withdrawal of the grounds of objection is respectfully requested.

# Response To Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph

Claims 31-34 and 38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being allegedly indefinite for the reasons discussed below.

 Claim 31 appears to be rejected for reciting that the heat shock polypeptide is "derived" from a bacterium.

In response, Applicants note that one of ordinary skill in the art would understand, based upon the specification and common vernacular used in the art, that "derived" is regularly used to indicate the origin of a particular biological material. For instance, a polynucleotide that has been cloned from the genome of a particular organism is often referred to as "derived" from that organism. Similarly, a polypeptide would be "derived" from a particular bacterium if it were directly isolated from the bacterium or if it was expressed from DNA cloned from the bacterium.

Withdrawal of the rejections under § 112, second paragraph, is respectfully requested.

AMENDMENT UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.111 Application No.: 10/534,054

Claims 34-38 appear to be rejected for referring to sequences "of Figure 1 and/or Figure 2 and/or Figure 3". The Office Action recommends SEQ ID NOs be inserted into the claims

In response, claims 34 and 35 have been amended to replace "of Figure 1 and/or Figure 2 and/or Figure 3" with "of SEQ ID NOs: 1, 2, and 3". Accordingly, the rejection is rendered moot with regard to claims 36-38 which are directly or indirectly dependent on claims 34 or 35.

Withdrawal of the rejections under § 112, second paragraph, is respectfully requested.

3. Claims 39-50 appear to be rejected because claims 39 and 40 are dependent on claim 29, which recites that "the a heat shock polypeptide or a nucleotide molecule" is administered. The Office Action recommends that the wording be replaced with "wherein said heat shock polypeptide or said nucleotide molecule".

In response, claims 39 and 40 have been amended to replace "the a" with "said" as suggested. Accordingly, the rejection is rendered moot with regard to claims 41-50 which are directly or indirectly dependent on claims 29 or 40.

Withdrawal of the rejections under § 112, second paragraph, is respectfully requested.

4. Claims 40-48 appear to be rejected because claim 40 is drawn to a composition comprising at least one additive "for assisting or augmenting the action" of the nucleotide molecule or polypeptide. The Office Action asserts that the metes and bounds of "for assisting or augmenting the action" is unclear. For example, the Office Action asserts that it is unclear whether the additive augments the pain relief or absorption of the nucleotide or polypeptide into a subject.

In response, Applicants note that one of ordinary skill in the art would understand from reading the specification, e.g., at pages 3-4, that the additive augments the pain relief of the Application No.: 10/534,054

nucleotide or polypeptide. Accordingly, solely to advance prosecution of the present application, claim 40 has been amended to recite "for assisting or augmenting the pain relief action by the nucleotide or polypeptide."

Withdrawal of the rejections under § 112, second paragraph, is respectfully requested.

5. Claim 42 appears to be rejected for the recitation that the composition is "in a form which provides prolonged or sustained pain relief". It is unclear what structural characteristics are encompassed by such a "form".

In response, Applicants note that claim 42 has been amended to delete recitation of a "form."

Withdrawal of the rejections under § 112, second paragraph, is respectfully requested.

Response To Rejections Under 35 U.S.C, 112, first paragraph

Claims 34-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, because the
specification, while being enabling for whole heat shock proteins, does not reasonably provide
enablement for any/all fragments of said heat shock proteins providing pain relief.

The Office Action appears to assert that because the use of chaperonins for pain relief was not known, there is a lack of predictability in the art that chaperonins could be utilized for pain relief, and any fragments of said chaperonins were also not known to alleviate pain. In addition, the Office Action asserts that the specification does not provide sufficient guidance to encompass the scope of the instant claims, i.e., any fragment of any size utilized as pain relief. The Office Action appears to assert that the only examples in the specification utilize whole cpn 60.1, cpn 60.2 or cpn 10, and the specification does not teach which part of the whole sequence can be replaced and still retain all of its pain relief characteristic.

AMENDMENT UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.111

Application No.: 10/534,054

Thus, the Office Action appears to conclude that the amount of experimentation is undue, and merely constitutes an invitation to experiment with fragments of chaperonins, without a reasonable expectation of success.

In response, Applicants note that "[a]s long as the specification discloses at least one method for making and using the claimed invention that bears a reasonable correlation to the entire scope of the claim, then the enablement requirement of 35 U.S.C. 112 is satisfied. Further, even in the unpredictable arts, a disclosure of every operable species is not required. M.P.E.P. § 2164.03. We note that "because only an enabling disclosure is required, [Applicants] need not describe all actual embodiments." M.P.E.P. § 2164.02.

Further the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences (BPAI) has stated that "[t]he amount of experimentation to practice the full scope of the claimed invention might have been extensive, but it would have been routine. The techniques necessary to do so were well known to those skilled in the art." Ex parte Kubin (B.P.A.I. 2007). In this regard, BPAI has recognized that mere routine experimentation is required to enable the full scope of an Applicants' claims reciting nucleic acids encoding proteins at least 80% identical to the disclosed amino acid sequence claimed. Ex parte Kaubin (B.P.A.I. 2007). Thus, the BPAI has found claims having scope broader than the exact amino acid or nucleotide sequence disclosed should not be rejected under the enablement requirement of 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph. Also, "[t]he fact experimentation may be complex does not necessarily make it undue, if the art typically engages in such experimentation." M.P.E.P. §2164.01.

Applicants note that the structural characteristic of chaperonin 60 and chaperonin 10 are well-established in the art. (See page 2, lines 5-11 of the specification). In addition, Mycobacterium tuberculosis is known to produce chaperonin 60.1 (cpn 60.1), chaperonin 60.2 AMENDMENT UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.111

Application No.: 10/534,054

(cpn 60.2), and chaperonin 10 (cpn 10). (See page 2, lines 26-29 of the specification). In fact, the naming of chaperonin 60.1 is based upon its amino acid sequence identity with other known chaperonins. Id. Accordingly, chaperonin 60.2, or SEQ ID NO:4 and 3, respectively, is disclosed to exhibit 59.6% identity to the amino acid sequence of cpn 60.1 (SEQ ID NO:2), and 65.6% identity to the nucleic acid sequence of cpn 60.1 (SEQ ID NO:1). (See page 3, lines 1-2 and page 9, lines 10-14 of the specification). An assay to determine the pain relief activity of the claimed nucleotides and claimed is polypeptides is provided in Examples 2 and 3 and the results are illustrated in Figures 6 and 7 to show the reduction in hyperalgesia to endotoxin (or sensitivity to pain) as expressed by the duration of paw withdrawals.

Furthermore, as disclosed at page 8 of the present specification, one skilled in the art would understand and surmise based on common technical knowledge and the disclosure in the specification, e.g., determination of the percent identity in the amino acid sequence based on the GAP program, Clustal W program, FAST pairwise alignment program, BLOSUM, or even a BLAST search algorithm, in order to make and use the claimed nucleotides having at least 66% identity to sequence (i), and the claimed polypeptides having at least 60% or more identity to sequence (i).

Accordingly, Applicants note that because the action of proteins is often attributed to particular domains and the full length protein is not generally required for activity, it would be a matter of routine experimentation to test the claimed nucleotides and claimed polypeptides for their pain relief characteristics.

Reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejection under § 112, first paragraph, is respectfully requested.

AMENDMENT UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.111 Application No.: 10/534,054

2. Claims 29-53 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for relieving pain utilizing whole heat shock proteins, does not reasonably provide enablement for relieving pain by administration of polynucleotides encoding a heat shock polypeptide.

The reasons asserted by the Office Action appear to be similar to those discussed above. In other words, because using chaperonins or their nucleotides for pain relief is not known, there is a lack of predictability in the art that chaperonins may be used for pain relief. Also, the specification is asserted to provide insufficient guidance to enable the scope of the instant claims, i.e., administration of nucleotides encoding heat shock polypeptides for pain relief. The Office Action appears to assert that the only examples in the specification use whole cpn 60.1, cpn 60.2 or cpn 10 polypeptides, and do not teach which part of the whole sequence can be replaced and still retain all of its pain relief characteristic.

Thus, the Office Action appears to conclude that the amount of experimentation is undue, and merely constitutes an invitation to experiment with fragments of chaperonins, without a reasonable expectation of success.

For similar reasons discussed above, Applicants note that the specification provides ample guidance in combination with the common technical knowledge in the art to enable one of ordinary skill in the art to make and use the presently claimed invention.

Reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejection under § 112, first paragraph, is respectfully requested.

Application No.: 10/534,054

Conclusion

In view of the above, reconsideration and allowance of this application are now believed

to be in order, and such actions are hereby solicited. If any points remain in issue which the

Examiner feels may be best resolved through a personal or telephone interview, the Examiner is

kindly requested to contact the undersigned at the telephone number listed below.

The USPTO is directed and authorized to charge all required fees, except for the Issue

Fee and the Publication Fee, to Deposit Account No. 19-4880. Please also credit any

overpayments to said Deposit Account.

Respectfully submitted,

Tu A. Phan, Ph.D.

Registration No. 30,951

/Tu A. Phan/

SUGHRUE MION, PLLC

Telephone: (202) 293-7060 Facsimile: (202) 293-7860

WASHINGTON OFFICE

23373 CUSTOMER NUMBE

Date: August 1, 2008

16

# IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of

Docket No: O87779

Anthony Robert Milnes COATES

Appln, No.: 10/534,054

Group Art Unit: 1645

Confirmation No.: 2651

Examiner: Rodney P SWARTZ

Filed: March 22, 2006

For: PAIN RELIEF AGENTS

PETITION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.136

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.136, Applicant hereby petitions for an extension of time of one month, extending the time for responding to the Office Action of April 7, 2008 to August 7, 2008.

The statutory fee of \$120.00 is being charged to Deposit Account No. 19-4880 via EFS Payment Screen. The USPTO is also directed and authorized to charge all required fees, except for the Issue Fee and the Publication Fee, to Deposit Account No. 19-4880. Please also credit any overpayments to said Deposit Account.

Respectfully submitted,

/Tu A. Phan/

SUGHRUE MION, PLLC Telephone: (202) 293-7060 Facsimile: (202) 293-7860

> WASHINGTON OFFICE 23373

Tu A. Phan, Ph.D. Registration No. 59,392

Date: August 1, 2008

# IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of Docket No: Q87779

Anthony Robert Milnes COATES

Appln. No.: 10/534,054 Group Art Unit: 1645

Confirmation No.: 2651 Examiner: Rodney P SWARTZ

Filed: March 22, 2006

For: PAIN RELIEF AGENTS

# DECLARATION REGARDING SUBSTITUTE SPECIFICATION

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

Submitted herewith is a Substitute Specification (clean copy) and Substitute Specification (marked-up copy).

These versions were taken from an electronic version sent to the undersigned on behalf of the Inventors. While the pagination somewhat differs from the original Specification, the content is the same.

I further state that I have reviewed the changes in the Substitute Specification and do not believe any change constitutes new matter.

Respectfully submitted,

/Tu A. Phan/

Tu A. Phan, Ph.D. Registration No. 59,392

SUGHRUE MION, PLLC Telephone: (202) 293-7060 Facsimile: (202) 293-7860

WASHINGTON OFFICE
23373
CUSTOMER NUMBER

Date: August 1, 2008